

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/292,365	06/03/1999	Ronald Chi Hong Lee	A363 0001/GNM	3449
	720 7590 10/23/2007 OYEN, WIGGS, GREEN & MUTALA LLP		INER	
480 - THE ST	ATION		MAUNG	J, ZARNI
	VEST CORDOVA STREET COUVER, BC V6B 1G1 ART UNIT PAPER NU		PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/292,365	LEE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Zarni Maung	2151
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	is communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FR(- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended	OM THE MAILII the provisions of 37 (te of this communicat te maximum statutory period for reply will, by three months after the	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on	24 July 2007.	
2a) This action is FINAL .	• •	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in	condition for a	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with	the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pend	ing in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allo			
6) Claim(s) is/are reje			
7) Claim(s) is/are obj			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject	to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is object	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)[] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
,,,	• •	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	• •
			g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is	objected to by	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) □		preign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of	the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of	the priority docu	iments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certif	ied copies of th	e priority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage
	e International F	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
application from the	5 11110111ational c		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/292,365

Art Unit: 2151

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-18, are drawn to management system and method for a plurality of application objects and a plurality of subscriber objects, classified in class 709, subclass 223.

 Claim 19, is drawn to a method for balancing loads between a plurality of web servers, classified in class 718, subclass 105.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions I is directed to management system and method for a plurality of application objects and a plurality of subscriber objects, classified in class 709, subclass 223, and the invention II is directed to a method for balancing loads between a plurality of web servers.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and the search required for each Group is different and not co-extensive for examination purpose. For example, the searches for the four inventions would not be co-extensive because these groups would require different searches on PTO's classification class and subclass as following:

Art Unit: 2151

(a) the Group I search (claims 1-18) would require use of search Class 709, subclass 223 (not require for the invention II).

(b) the Group II search (claim 19) would require use of search Class 718, subclasses 105 (not require for the invention I).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Manning on October 12, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2151

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zarni Maung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3939. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached at (571) 272-3440. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, status information for published application may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR, for unpublished application Private PAIR only (see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2151

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand carried or delivered to:

Customer Service Window located at the Randolph Bldg. 401

Dulany St. Alexandria, VA 22314

Faxed to the Central Fax Office:

(571) 273-8300 (New Central Fax No.)

Or Telephone

(571) 272-2100 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.

ZARNÍMAUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER